



**GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI  
DELHI SUBORDINATE SERVICES SELECTION BOARD  
FC-18, INSTITUTIONAL AREA, KARKARDOOMA, DELHI-110092**

F.1 (427)/CC-II/DSSSB/2020/258-260

Dated:-12/02/2021

**ORDER**

1. This order shall dispose of the representation dated 19/12/2020 preferred by Prashant Dhama (Roll No. 11151102266), a candidate for the post of Assistant Teacher (Primary), Post Code - 15/19 in Directorate of Education, Govt. of NCT of Delhi in compliance of the directions of Ld. CAT dated 07/12/2020 in O.A.No.1870/2020, in the matter of Prashant Dhama Vs DSSSB & Ors.

2. The Ld. CAT while disposing the Original Application No. 1870/2020 on 07/12/2020 in its judgment has stated that:-

*"The applicant responded to a notification issued in the year, 2019 by Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board (DSSSB) for various posts, including the one of Assistant Teacher (Primary), with post code 15/19. He claimed the status of OBC and enclosed the certificate dated 31/12/2009 issued by Sub Divisional Magistrate (SDM), North West District, Delhi. He took part in the written test and results were published. In the result notice dated 24/07/2020, it was mentioned that the case of the applicant and four others, who claimed the status of OBC cannot be accepted since they are not OBC from Delhi. This OA is filed challenging the said result notice.*

2. *The applicant contends that though his father was from Uttar Pradesh (UP) he settled in Delhi and since the applicant was born and brought up in Delhi, he deserves to be treated as OBCs from Delhi. Reliance is also placed upon a certificate issued by Delhi Administration dated 14/10/2020.*

3. ....

4. *In the context of verification of social status for appointment in Delhi Administration, one significant factor is about nativity. In case the person is a native of Delhi, a certificate is to be issued in a particular form. If on the other hand, he falls from any other place where the social status is recognized as OBC, the certificate, in an all together different from, is required to be issued.*

5. *The applicant submitted a certificate dated 14/10/2020 in support of his claim for OBC. From a perusal of the same, it is evident that his father was shown to be a resident of UP and on the basis of the certificate issued to the father in the state of UP, the applicant was shown as OBC. Naturally, her was treated as OBC from outside Delhi.*

6. *Having uploaded the certificate dated 31/12/2009, he relied another certificate dated 14/10/2020. Firstly, it was obtained after the cutoff date for submission of application. Secondly, it was not uploaded. The question as to whether the reliance can be placed upon such a certification can be examined by the respondents, if only the applicant makes a representation.*

7. *We, therefore, dispose of the OA leaving it open to the applicant to make a representation. We make it clear that we did not express any view as regards the entitlement of the applicant on the basis of certificate dated 14/10/2020. If the representation is preferred by the applicant, the orders thereon shall be passed by the respondents within two months thereafter. There shall be no order as to cost."*

3. That the applicant preferred representation on 19/12/2020 which was received in the Board on 28/12/2020 with diary no. 4668. The applicant vides his representation has prayed as under:

*"1. That the father of the undersigned is working as TGT (Natural Science) in Delhi Government School since 16/09/1991. It is submitted that since 16/09/1991 his father is continuously and uninterruptedly residing in Delhi.*

2. That on 16/04/1992, the undersigned was born in Delhi and he belongs to Jaat Community which is recognized as OBC under the Govt. of NCT of Delhi notified vide Notification dated 20/01/1995 by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi in Gazette of Delhi (Extraordinary).

3. That the OBC Certificate dated 08/09/2009 was issued by the Deputy Commissioner, Delhi to the father of the undersigned. It is however submitted that the said Certificate dated 08/09/2009 was issued on the possession of OBC certificate issued to Sh. N .S. Dhama brother of Sh. Bhoopendra Singh Dhama, who was resident of Bagpat, Uttar Pradesh. It is however submitted that since the year 1991, the OBC certificate ought to have been issued thereby certifying that the father of the undersigned belongs to Jaat Community which is recognized as Backward Class in Delhi.

4. That thereafter, the undersigned also applied for OBC certificate which was issued to the undersigned in Decembers 2009, thereby declaring that the undersigned belong to Jaat Community which is recognized as OBC in Uttar Pradesh. It is submitted that the said certificate dated 31/12/2009 was issued by Delhi Government. It is further submitted since the undersigned was continuously residing in Delhi since his birth and the father of the undersigned was also residing Delhi since the year 1991, Delhi Government ought to have issued a certificate to the undersigned thereby declaring that the undersigned belongs to Jaat Community which is recognized as backward class in Delhi. It is submitted that the said OBC Certificate dated 31/12/2009 to the extent is illegal as well as unjustified.

5, 6, 7.....

8. That vide vacancy Notice/Advertisement No. 03/19 dated 05/09/2019, the Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board (DSSSB), inter-alia, invited applications for appointment on the post of Assistant Teacher (Primary) (Post Code 15/19) in Directorate of Education. It is submitted that the undersigned duly applied for the same in the OBC category. It is further submitted that undersigned was duly qualified and eligible for appointment on the post of Assistant Teacher (Primary) (Post Code 15/19).

9, 10.....

11. That Vide Notice No. 979 dated 20/03/2020, Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board (DSSSB) declared the result of the candidates who appeared in the written examination for the appointment on the post of Assistant Teacher (Primary) (Post Code 15/19). It is submitted that the undersigned obtained 120.44 marks out of 200 marks and was short listed by the Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board (DSSSB) for uploading the e-dossier.

12.....

13. That thereafter, vide Result Notice No. 1015 dated 24/07/2020, the candidature of the undersigned for the post of assistant Teacher (Primary) (Post Code 15/19) in Directorate of Education, Govt. of NCT of Delhi was rejected on ground - "the candidate is not eligible to seek the reservation benefits under OBC category in Delhi being OBC outsider." It is submitted that the Result Notice No. 1015 dated 24/07/2020 is illegal as well as unjustified.

14. That the undersigned was in bona-fide belief that the OBC certificate dated 31/12/2009, which is issued by the Govt. of Delhi to the undersigned was valid and sufficient to claim OBC reservation benefits in Delhi. It is submitted that it is only on his rejection of the candidature for the post of Assistant Teacher (Primary) (Post Code 15/19) by the DSSSB vide Result Notice dated 24/07/2020, the undersigned came to know that the OBC certificate 31/12/2009 was factually wrong and insufficient to claim the reservation benefits in Delhi. The undersigned immediately, thereafter on 10/09/2020 applied for fresh issuance of the OBC Certificate to the Delhi Government thereby declaring the undersigned as OBC belonging to Delhi. It is submitted that vide OBC Certificate dated 14/10/2020, the Govt. of NCT of Delhi issued another OBC Certificate wherein it was declared that the undersigned belongs to Jaat Community which is recognized as backward class in Govt. of NCT of Delhi. It is submitted that the said OBC Certificate dated 14/10/2020 is valid justified and sufficient claim reservation benefits for the government post in Delhi.

15., 16 (A), (B), (C).....



16 (D). Because the undersigned is in possession of a valid and legal OBC Certificate dated 14/10/2020 which certifies the fact that the undersigned belongs to Jaat Community which is recognized as OBC under the Govt. of NCT of Delhi vide notification dated 31/05/2000 published in Gazette of Delhi (extraordinary) in Part-IV. It is submitted that in terms of the said OBC certificate dated 14/10/2020, the undersigned is entitled to the reservation benefits and consequently, the Result Notice No. 1015 dated 24/07/2020, whereby the candidature of the undersigned was rejected is illegal as well as unjustified. The applicant has also submitted in his application that the Result Notice is contrary to the Law declared by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Ram Kumar Gijroya V/s DSSSB and others decided on 24/02/2016.

16 (E), (F), (G) That since the previous OBC Certificate dated 31/12/2009 was also issued by the office of Dy. Commissioner (North-West Delhi), it has been submitted by the candidate that it was not his fault and Govt. of Delhi ought to have issued a correct certificate in the year 2009. That the applicant cannot be penalized for the error committed by the Revenue Department, Govt. of Delhi in issuing incorrect certificate. That the applicant is meritorious, duly eligible and satisfies all the requisite qualifications for appointment on the post of Assistant Teacher (Primary) (Post Code 15/19) as he has obtained 120.44 marks out of 200 marks whereas the last selected candidate has obtained 116.14 marks in the OBC Category and therefore entitled to be appointed in the post code. It has been requested by the applicant to comply with the order passed by the LD. CAT in OA No. 1870/2020."

4. That in compliance of order of Ld. CAT dated 07/12/2020 in O.A. No. 1870/2020, the representation/case of the applicant i.e. Prashant Dhama (Roll No. 11151102266) under post code 15/19 has been examined and it is hereby informed that:

5. That as per requisition received from the Directorate of Education, the DSSSB advertised the following vacancies vide its advertisement no. 03/2019 dated 05/09/2019. The details of the vacancies as per Advertisement No. 03/2019 was as under:-

Sr. No.	Post Code	Post Name	Vacancies	UR	OBC	SC	ST	PH (VH)	EWS
1.	15/19	Assistant Teacher (Primary)	637	332	119	115	35	29	36

6. That later on vacancies in the above mentioned post code has been revised, which is as mentioned below, vide corrigendum to advertisement no. 03/2019 dated 05/11/2019. The revised vacancy in the post code is as mentioned below:-

Sr. No.	Post Code	Post Name	Vacancies	UR	OBC	SC	ST	PH (OH)	PH (VH)	EWS
1.	15/19	Assistant Teacher (Primary)	637	332	119	115	35	13	14	36

7. That the prescribed cutoff date for determining educational qualification, age, experience and other eligibility conditions against the post was to be determined as on the closing date of online submission of application, that was 15/10/2019.

8. That in Clause 5 of the advertisement itself, it has been informed by the Board the nature of OBC Certificate which a candidate must possess to avail the benefit of reservation. It reads as under:-

"5. Reservation Benefits:-

- (i) Reservation benefits will be available to the SC/ST/OBC/Physically Handicapped and other special category candidates in accordance with the instructions/orders/circulars issued from time to time by the Govt. of Delhi.
- (ii) Candidate who wish to be considered against reserved vacancies and/or to seek age relaxation, **must be in possession of relevant certificates (SC/ST/EWS/OBC/Non Creamy Layer/PH/Educational/Experience, etc.) issued by the competent/notified authority (in prescribed format) on or before the cutoff date (closing date for advertisement) otherwise their claim for any category will not be entertained and their application will be considered against Un-reserved (UR ) category vacancies, if eligible otherwise. The candidate has to select that particular category when applying online for the post. No request for change of category will be entertained at later stage.**
- (iii). Only OBC (Delhi) candidates will be given the benefit of reservation/age relaxation under OBC category. **OBC (Outside) candidates will be treated as unreserved candidates and they must apply under UR category.** The OBC candidates must be in possession of non-Creamy layer certificate along with his/her caste certificate.
- (iv) Only following two types of certificates will be accepted as valid certificates for grant of benefit of reservation to OBCs:-
  - (A) OBC certificate (Delhi) issued by the Revenue Department of GNCT of Delhi, on the basis of a old certificate issued to any member of Individual's family from GNCT of Delhi.
  - (B) OBC certificate issued by a competent authority outside Delhi to a person belonging to a community duly notified as OBC by GNCT of Delhi. This certificate should have mandatorily been issued on the basis of OBC certificate issued by Govt. of NCT of Delhi to a family member of the concerned person who had been residing in Delhi before 08/09/1993.

9. That the applicant appeared in the written examination and obtained marks as per detail given below:

S.No.	Name	D.O.B.	Category	Roll No	Marks Obtained
1	Prashant Dhama	16/04/1992	OBC	11151102266	120.44

10. That marks of 59243 candidates who appeared in the online written examination held on 11<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> November, 2019 for the Post code 15/19 were released and also 1356 candidates were shortlisted for uploading e-dossier vide Notice No. 979 dated 20/03/2020. The cutoff marks for short listing of candidates was as mentioned below:-

Category	UR	OBC	SC	ST	EWS	PH (VH)	PH (OH)
The minimum marks for calling of e-dossier purpose only (out of 200 marks)	117.59	111.83	102	86.08	109.65	86.48	98.52



11. That activation date of e-dossier link was revised for the candidates vide corrigendum No. 982 dated 22/05/2020 from earlier notified activation period 03/04/2020 to 17/04/2020 to newly notified activation period, i.e., 02/06/2020 to 16/06/2020 keeping in view the lock down announced by Government of India from 24<sup>th</sup> March, 2020 to 04<sup>th</sup> May, 2020 on account of Pandemic COVID-19.

12. That during scrutiny of the e-dossier of the applicant it was noticed that the applicant Sh. Prashant Dhama having Roll No 11151102266 has uploaded OBC certificate bearing no. OBC/06/63/17862/31/10/2009/9531024217 dated 31/12/2009 issued by Govt. of Delhi. On the examination of that OBC certificate it reveals that the same was issued by the SDM (Saraswati Vihar) on the basis of OBC certificate bearing No. 79316 dated 08/9/2009 of Sh. Bhoopendra Singh Dhama father of the applicant Sh. Prashant Dhama issued by SDM (Saraswati Vihar).

13. That the OBC certificate no. OBC/06/63/17862/31/10/2009/9531024217/80351 dated 31/12/2009 was obtained by the applicant Prashant Dhama on the basis of document submitted by him before the Competent Authority, i.e., OBC Certificate of his father therefore, the said OBC certificate was issued to the applicant and was valid on the cutoff date of submission of application, i.e., 15/10/2019 and also on the date of issuance of Result Notice No. 1015 dated 24/07/2020. The applicant has claimed himself as original and ordinarily resident of Bagpat, U.P. before the caste certificate issuing authority of Delhi. In the context of verification of social status for appointment in Delhi Administration, one significant factor is about nativity. In case the person is native of Delhi, a certificate is to be issued in particular form. If on the other hand, he falls from any other place where the social status is recognized as OBC, the Certificate, in all together different format, is required to be issued.

14. That in the OBC Certificate dated 31/12/2009 of the applicant it is clearly mentioned that:-

*"This Certificate is issued on the basis of OBC Certificate issued to Shri/Smt./Kumari Bhoopendra Singh Dhama father of Sh/Smt/Kumari/ Prashant Dhama resident of Village Khekra, Distt. Bagpat, U.P. who belongs to JAT caste which is recognised as Other Backward Class in the state/U.T. U.P. issued by the SDM Saraswati Vihar vide their number 79316 dated 08/09/2009.*

15. That the OBC Certificate dated 31/12/2009 was in possession of the applicant since its issuance and the same was willfully submitted by the candidate in his login ID on OARS module on 11/06/2020, vide e-dossier no. 26440988, which was examined/scrutinized by the Board before provisionally nominating candidates in post code 15/19, Assistant Teacher in Directorate of Education vide Result Notice No. 1015 dated 24/07/2020.

16. That the candidate has been rightly treated by the Board in UR category on the basis of OBC certificate dated 31/12/2019, which was obtained by the applicant, kept in possession by the candidate and also willfully submitted by the candidate to the Board in his e-dossier on 11/06/2020.

17. That the candidate/applicant has been treated as UR candidate in terms of clause (5) for reservation benefits which was notified in the Advertisement No. 03/19 (referred in para 8 above).

18. That the OBC certificate uploaded/submitted by the candidate Prashant Dhama having Roll No 11151102266 is not in accordance with the terms and conditions as per para 5 titled as "RESERVATION BENEFIT" of the advertisement accordingly his candidature was rejected in OBC (Delhi) category vide Result Notice No. 1015 dated 24/07/2020 on the ground that the candidate is not eligible to seek the reservation benefits under OBC category in Delhi being OBC outsider. Further candidature of the candidate was considered in UR category since cut off marks for selection in UR

category was 121.91 marks which is higher than marks scored by the candidate i.e. 120.44 marks hence his candidature has been kept in waitlist panel of the UR category.

19. That since the candidate was not having OBC certificate issued by authority as was required in the said advertisement at clause 5 (iv), up to the cutoff date (closing date of advertisement), i.e., 15/10/2019 for the post code 15/19 which was also pointed out by the Ld. CAT in its order dated 07/12/2020, the candidate was counted as UR candidate in accordance with the policy which is very well spelt out in the said advertisement notice. Further, the candidate was also not having possession of OBC (Delhi) Certificate as per requirement of Clause 5 (iv) of the Advertisement on the date of provisional nomination of candidates, i.e. 24/07/2020, issuance of Result Notice No. 1070 in post code 15/19, Assistant Teacher in Directorate of Education.

20. That the selection process in Post Code 15/19 had already been closed on 24/07/2020 vide Result Notice No. 1070.

21. That the waitlist panel shall be valid for a period of 1 year from the date of declaration of result and vacancies arising due to non-acceptance of the offer of appointment, none joining the post after acceptance of appointment, resignation after joining, etc. within one year of validity of panel, shall be filled up from this waiting panel.

22. That the candidate applied before the competent authority for fresh OBC (Delhi) Certificate on 10/09/2020, i.e. two months after declaration of result/closure of selection process in post code 15/19 on 24/07/2020 and obtained OBC (Delhi) Certificate on 14/10/2020 after 3 months of closure of Result.

23. That on the basis of new OBC (Delhi) Certificate obtained by the candidate on 14/10/2020, i.e., after closure of selection process in the post code, the candidate is seeking change of his category from UR to OBC (Delhi) on the basis of Caste Certificate which was neither in his possession nor applied by him up to closure of selection process in post code 15/19.

24. The applicant aggrieved at the rejection of his candidature in OBC category filed an Original Application in the Hon'ble CAT vide OA No. 1870/2020 praying therein that the respondent be directed to consider his candidature in view of the fresh OBC certificate issued by Delhi Administration dated 14/10/2020.

25. The applicant as an afterthought obtained the OBC (Delhi) certificate and that too after the declaration of result and closure of selection process. The applicant should have applied for and obtained the certificate by making the requisite application before cutoff date of application submission in the post code 15/19. Not having done so, he cannot expect the entire administration to work as per his wishes, at the cost of serious administrative inconvenience.

26. That if such relaxation were to be made, it would lead to an unending process, leading to confusion and chaos and delay in the declaration of the results. It would also not be fair to other similarly placed candidates who may have applied as General category candidates because they did not possess the caste certificate at the time of making the application.



27. That in the matter of MCD Vs Veena reported in 2001 (6) SCC 571 the Hon'ble Supreme Court after placing reliance upon decision of the Constitution Bench in Marri Chandra and Action Committee's case held that outsider OBC will be treated as General Candidate in Delhi.

28. That the Full Bench of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in the matter of Deepak Kumar Vs District & Session Judge reported in 2012 (132) DRJ 169 (FB) decided the case of petitioners whose OBC certificates were not accepted by the District Judge. The Full Bench dealt with such petitioners in Para 53, 54, 55 and after citing MCD Vs Veena in Para 56 held that they are not entitled to benefits.

29. That the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of Govt. of NCT of Delhi Vs Pradeep Kumar reported in (2019) 10 SCC 120 held that since respondents did not possess OBC (Delhi) certificate they cannot be considered for recruitment against OBC Category vacancies in Govt. of NCT of Delhi. They were further not allowed to be considered even in Unreserved Category since they secured CTET qualification with relaxation of pass marks meant for OBC Category.

30. That the Apex Court allowed appeal in the case of Rajasthan High Court, Jodhpur V/s Neetu Harsh in Civil Appeal No. 6696/2019 vide order dated 29/08/2019 and has held as under:-

"15. That apart, though it is contended by the private respondent that it was a mistake in indicating "No" against the Column 3.1- 'Person with Disability', what is necessary to be taken note is that against column 2.4- 'Category', it has been stated as "General". That apart the examination fee fixed for general candidates is Rs. 250/- while for the eligible disabled applicant it is fixed at Rs. 50/- . The private respondent in addition to indicating her category as 'General' has paid the fee of Rs. 250/- as applicable. Further, though the disability certificate dated 05/07/2010 is presently relied upon, there is no material to indicate that the same was enclosed along with the application or produced till the completion of interview. On this aspect, to contend that the private respondent cannot make a contrary claim, the learned senior counsel for the applicants herein has relied on the decision in the case of J&K Public Service Commission V/s Israr Ahmad (2005) 12 SCC 498 wherein it is held in para 5 as hereunder:

"5. We have considered the rival contentions advanced by both the parties. The contention of the first respondent cannot be accepted as he has not applied for selection as candidate entitled to get reservation. He did not produce any certificate along with the application. The fact that he has not availed of the benefit for the preliminary examination itself is sufficient to treat him as a candidate not entitled to get reservation. He passed the preliminary examination as general candidate and at the subsequent stage of the main examination he cannot avail of reservation on the ground that he was successful in getting the required certificate only at a later stage. The nature and status of the candidate who was applying for the selection could only be treated alike and once a candidate has chosen to opt for a category to which he is entitled, he cannot later change the status and make fresh claim. The division bench was not correct in holding that as a candidate he had also had the qualification and the production of the certificate at a later stage would make

him entitled to seek reservation. Therefore we set aside the judgment of the Division Bench and allow the appeal. No costs."

"16. Further the decision in the case of Registrar General, Calcutta High Court V/s Shrinivas Prasad Shah (2013) 12 SCC 364 is relied on, wherein this court has disallowed the claim in a case where in the application the category of reservation was indicated but certificate was not produced and the fee applicable to general candidate was paid. In addition, the learned senior counsel for the appellants herein also refers to the inherent contradictions in the claim for consideration under the category reserved for Differently Abled Persons is not made.

31. That a similar view has been taken by a Coordinate Bench of Delhi High Court in the case of Pooja Shrawat V. Govt. of NCT of Delhi in W.P. (C) No. 12563/2018 decided on 26/11/2018 and in somewhat similar matter titled Sonal Panwar V. DSSSB, through its Secretary in W. P. (C) No. 9464/2019. In the judgment of Pooja Shrawat (supra) in para 15 it is mentioned that:-

"The position is not different when it comes to non-submission of a crucial document by a candidate on which his/her candidature is determined. The petitioner should have applied for and obtained the certificate by making the requisite application. Not having done so, she cannot expect the entire administration to work as per her wishes, at the cost of serious administrative inconvenience. If such relaxation were to be made, it would lead to an unending process, leading to confusion and chaos and delay in the declaration of the results. It would also not be fair to other similarly placed candidates who may have applied as General category candidates because they did not possess the caste certificate at the time of making the application."

32. That the WP (C) 11940/2019 in the matter of Amardeep V. Govt. of Delhi was dismissed by Hon'ble Delhi High Court vide judgment dated 15/11/2019 with a view that once the petitioner applied in the general category, it is not possible to change the category once the process had commenced.

33. That the Ld. CAT has dismissed an O.A. No. 2988/2019 vide its judgment dated 9/10/2019 wherein it has been mentioned that the entire process of receiving applications, tabulation of marks and selection of candidates, is through online system and human intervention is virtually minimum. The social status of the candidate cannot be changed at this stage.

34. That the applicant filed OA No. 1870/2020 before the Central Administrative Tribunal seeking consideration of his candidature in OBC Category on the basis of OBC (Delhi) Certificate dated 14/10/2020 which was obtained after the cutoff date for submission of application and subsequently preferred representation dated 19/12/2020 to the Board with request to take his OBC (Delhi) certificate dated 14/10/2020 on record and his candidature be considered as OBC Candidate in place of UR candidate in the post code



15/19. Keeping in view the facts explained above, the Board has rightly denied the benefit of reservation to the candidate namely Mr. Prashant Dhama having Roll no. 11151102266 in post code 15/19 Assistant Teacher (Primary) under OBC Category on account of failure on his part to submit OBC (Delhi) Certificate as per requirement of Clause 5 (iv) of Advertisement Notice No. 03/19. Since, candidate does not have requisite OBC (Delhi) Certificate as per RRs of the said post as on cutoff date i.e. **15/10/2019**, hence his request cannot be acceded as a time barred action, as it is against the laid down policy of the Board and against the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, High Court and Ld. CAT as mentioned hereinabove as well.

35. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority and in compliance of the directions of Ld. CAT.

36. Let this order be served upon Sh. Prashant Dhama, Date of Birth:-16/04/1992, S/o Sh. Bhoopendra Singh Dhama having Roll no 11151102266 in Post Code 15/19, Assistant Teacher (Primary) R/o House No. 11, Gali no. 13, Block-A-1, Bengali Colony, Sant nagar, Burari, North Delhi-110084.



Dy. Secretary, DSSSB

To:-

**Prashant Dhama,**  
S/o Sh. Bhoopendra Singh Dhama,  
R/o House No. 11, Gali no. 13, Block-A-1,  
Bengali Colony, Sant nagar, Burari,  
North Delhi-110084.