

Staff Selection Commission
Conf.I/2

Subject: Declaration of the final result of Special Examination for Sub-Inspector in CPO's, 2010 - reg.

Staff Selection Commission conducted Special Examination for Sub-Inspectors in Central Police Organisations (CPOs) Special Examination, 2010 on 12.12.2010. Based on the performance in the written examination, 3812 candidates (3695-Male & 117-Female) were called for Interview after they qualify in PET/Medical Examination as per the scheme of the Examination.

2. The category-wise details of the candidates qualified for appointment to the posts of SI in CPOs are as under :-

Male Candidates

BSF

	SC	ST	OBC	UR	TOTAL
VACANCIES	112	38	129	284	563
CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED	112	38	129	214*	493

* includes 5 SC, 10 ST and 21 OBC candidates

CISF

	SC	ST	OBC	UR	TOTAL
VACANCIES	135	67	243	455	900
CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED	135	67	243	455*	900

* includes 7 SC, 17 ST and 105 OBC candidates

CRPF

	SC	ST	OBC	UR	TOTAL
VACANCIES	155	48	172	317	692
CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED	155	48	172	303*	678

* Includes 6 SC, 15 ST and 62 OBC candidates

ITBP

	SC	ST	OBC	UR	TOTAL
VACANCIES	31	03	16	32	82
CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED	31	03	16	32*	82

* Includes 1 ST and 3 OBC candidates

-2-

SSB

	SC	ST	OBC	UR	TOTAL
VACANCIES	60	31	118	214	423
CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED	60	31	118	175*	384

* Includes 5 ST and 24 OBC candidates

Female candidates

BSF

	SC	ST	OBC	UR	TOTAL
VACANCIES	02	01	03	09	15
CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED	0	01	01	0	02

CISF

	SC	ST	OBC	UR	TOTAL
VACANCIES	15	07	27	51	100
CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED	15	07	24	33*	79

* includes 1 SC, 2 ST and 7 OBC candidates

CRPF

No vacancies reported for female candidates.

ITBP

No vacancies reported for female candidates.

SSB

	SC	ST	OBC	UR	TOTAL
VACANCIES	03	01	05	12	21
CANDIDATES RECOMMENDED	0	01	03	01	05

3. **RESOLUTION OF TIE CASES** (As per Notice of Exam)

(a) The tie is resolved by referring to the total marks in the written examination i.e. a candidate having more marks in the written examination gets preference over the candidate(s) with less marks.

(b) If the tie still persists then the marks in Paper I are referred to i.e. a candidate having more marks in this paper is given preference

-3-

(c) If the tie still persists, the candidate older in age gets preference.

(d) If the tie still persists, it is finally resolved by referring to the alphabetical order of names i.e. a candidate whose names begins with the alphabet which comes first in the alphabetical order gets preference.

4. Marks of the last selected candidate in each of the above categories are given below:-

Male Candidates

BSF

Total Marks of candidate	SC	ST	OBC	UR
First candidate	300.75	293.00	295.50	318.75
Last candidate	141.25	201.00	193.75	199.25

CISF

Total Marks of candidate	SC	ST	OBC	UR
First candidate	309.00	317.75	341.25	355.25
Last candidate	186.75	215.75	223.00	249.25

CRPF

Total Marks of candidate	SC	ST	OBC	UR
First candidate	292.75	309.50	328.75	328.00
Last candidate	150.00	201.75	195.25	198.25

ITBP

Total Marks of candidate	SC	ST	OBC	UR
First candidate	208.00	302.50	269.50	310.00
Last candidate	143.75	201.75	206.75	228.25

SSB

Total Marks of candidate	SC	ST	OBC	UR
First candidate	242.50	226.25	275.75	332.75
Last candidate	149.25	199.50	195.75	198.00

-4-

Female candidates

BSF

Total Marks of candidate	SC	ST	OBC	UR
First candidate	--	183.75	221.50	--

Last candidate	--	183.75	221.50	--
----------------	----	--------	--------	----

CISE

Total Marks of candidate	SC	ST	OBC	UR
First candidate	237.75	246.75	302.75	327.75
Last candidate	156.75	203.50	192.50	236.25

SSB

Total Marks of candidate	SC	ST	OBC	UR
First candidate	--	184.25	268.50	247.25
Last candidate	--	184.25	224.25	247.25

5. 17 male candidates and 1 female candidate whose candidature have been kept as Provisional by concerned regional offices are also included in the select list. Concerned RDs/DDs will check the eligibility of such candidates thoroughly before their nomination.

6. There are 108 Male candidates and a few other male candidates who have given blank preference and wrong codes and have not been considered in the Select List. They will be considered against unfilled UR vacancies taking into account their options as A, B, C, D and E in due course. Similar action will also be taken in the case of similarly placed female candidates. However, such additionally allocated candidates will figure in the Reserve List and will be en-bloc junior to those in the Select List.

7. The list of recommended candidates is purely PROVISIONAL and subject to the candidates fulfilling all the eligibility conditions prescribed in the Notice of said examination and also subject to, among other things, thorough verification of their identity with reference to their photographs, signatures, handwritings, etc on the application forms, admission certificates etc.

8. For candidates belonging to reserved categories for whom certain percentage of vacancies are reserved as per policy of the Government, the category status is indicated against their roll numbers. It is important to note that some of these candidates have been declared qualified only in the category mentioned against their roll numbers. If any candidate does not actually belong to the category mentioned against his/her name, he/she may not be eligible to be included in the list. It is, therefore, in the interest of candidates concerned to contact immediately the respective Regional Offices of the Commission in all

-5-

such cases where they do not belong to the categories shown against their Roll Numbers.

9. The result and marks of the candidates are also available on the Commission's **Website:<http://ssc.nic.in>**.

(Satya Prakash)
Under Secretary(C-I/1)
12.10.2011

