## STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION Combined Graduate Level (Tier-I) Examination, 2010 Marks of Non-Qualified Candidates

Staff Selection Commission conducted an open competitive examination for recruitment to various posts under Combined Graduate Level (Tier-I) Examination, 2010 on 16.05.2010 and reexamination in respect of some candidates was conducted on 13.6.2010. The result of Tier-I Examination and its re-examination /review cases was declared on 18.6.2010 and 13.7.2010 respectively. Category-wise cut-off was as under:-

Category-wise general cut-off on total marks was as under:-

Category	SC	ST	OBC	EXS	PH	HH	VH	UR	TOTAL
Cut Off	94	93	102	85	83	60	71	115	-
Marks (out									
of 200 marks)									

2. As the number of candidates for CPOs available was found to be inadequate with reference to the fact that they are subjected to Physical Eligibility Test (PET) and Medical Test, the Commission decided to release additional list for CPOs fixing a lower cut-off as under:-

	Ma	le			
	SC	ST	OBC	UR	TOTAL
Cut Off Marks (out of 200 marks)	83	83	94	100	-
		Fema	le		
	SC	ST	OBC	UR	TOTAL
Cut Off Marks (out of 200 marks)	92	91	100	112	-

3. The marks of the candidates who have not qualified for the Tier-II Examination, have been uploaded in SSC's Website. Such a candidate can ascertain his/her marks through the website by entering their Roll No. In case of any problem, a reference enclosing a copy of the Admission Certificate may be made to Under Secretary (C.I), SSC, New Delhi for getting the marks. The marks in respect of the candidates short-listed for Tier-II Examination have not been placed on the Website as per Commission's policy of not disclosing the marks of candidates qualifying for the next stage.

4. The particulars of the candidates appearing on the website are based on the coding(blackening the corresponding rectangles in pencil) of their particulars by the candidates on the first page of OMR Answer Sheet. Candidates had already been cautioned through instructions given in the front page of OMR sheet that "Answer Book not bearing candidate's Name, Roll No., Ticket No. and Signature will not be evaluated and such candidate would be awarded 'ZERO' marks. Answer sheet with incorrect coding of particulars would be awarded 'ZERO' marks". Further, Note-II under Para-9(B) of the Notice for the Examination also cautioned the candidate that "Candidate should write his /her Name, Roll Number, Ticket Number, Name of the Examination, Date of Birth, Test Form Number **correctly** in the relevant places in OMR answer sheet. Answer sheet not bearing Name, Roll Number, Ticket Number, and Signature will not be evaluated and will be awarded "ZERO" mark". In other words, wrong coding or no coding leads to no evaluation. Accordingly, the OMR sheets of the candidates whose scripts were without their signature or who have wrongly coded their particulars on first page of OMR Answer Sheet, have been rejected and awarded zero marks.

5. Nature of mistakes/discrepancies committed by the candidates has been indicated in code against the column 'Discrepancy' of the respective candidates which are as under:

<u>Code</u>	<u>Abbreviation</u>
WS	Without Signature/Pencil Signature
ROLLNO	Roll No. (Coded Roll no. is wrong/incomplete)
TF	Test Form No. (Wrong/Incomplete)
TICKNO	Ticket No. (Wrong/Incomplete)
INV.ROLL	Invalid Roll No. (Coded roll no. is out of range)
DUP	Duplicate Roll No. (Coded roll no. is of other candidate)
NAME	Name (coded name is wrong /incomplete /Blank)

6. The OMR sheets have been scanned and evaluated by computer and marks of the candidates have been generated through computer after thorough checking and verification and are 100% error free. No representations regarding re-evaluation of their Answer sheets, re-totalling of marks, etc will be entertained by the Commission.

(Satya Prakash) Under Secretary(C.I) 02.08.2010